

CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

BENJANAPADAVU – 574219, Bantwal Taluk, D K District, Karnataka www.canaraengineering.in

Feedback on Faculty – Department of MECH

Sample feedback forms – Pg. No. 2 Feedback Analysis – Pg. No. 6

Canara Engineering College Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Talu Mangaluru

Mechanical Engineering Admission Year 2017-2018 Design of Machine Elements-I(17ME54) Sandesh Kumar . Rai

Course Feedback Report

Total students: 39

Percentgae=88.08%

SI. No.	Feedback Parameters	Scores
1	Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes	4.67
2	Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject	4.44
3	Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus	4.31
4	Is fair in dealing with students	4.46
5	Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.33
6	Deals effectively with student's behaviour	4.33
7	Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives	4.33
8	Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students	4.41
9	Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills	4.44
10	Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students	4.36
11	Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative	4.41
12	Encourage them to think	4.36

CO Feedback

Percentgae=2.59%

SI. No.	Description	CO Feedback
1		4.36
2		4.27
3		4.33

Canara Engineering College Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Talu Mangaluru

Mechanical Engineering Admission Year 2017-2018 Finite Element Analysis(17ME61) Sathish S Nadig

Course Feedback Report

Total students: 35

Percentgae=81.48%

SI. No.	Feedback Parameters	Scores
1	Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes	4.17
2	Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject	4.11
3	Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus	4.09
4	Is fair in dealing with students	4.06
5	Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.09
6	Deals effectively with student's behaviour	4.11
7	Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives	4.03
8	Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students	4.03
9	Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills	4.03
10	Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students	4.06
11	Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative	4.09
12	Encourage them to think	4.03

CO Feedback

Percentgae=4.45%

SI. No.	Description	CO Feedback
1	Understand the concepts behind formulation methods in FEM	4.53
2	Identify the application and characteristics of FEA elements such as bars, beams, plane andiso-parametric elements	4.43
3	Develop element characteristic equation and generation of global equation	4.45
4	Able to apply suitable boundary conditions to a global equation for bars, trusses, beams, circular shafts, heat transfer, fluid flow	4.40
5	Able to solve axi symmetric and dynamic problems for displacements, stress and strains induced	4.43



CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Taluk Mangalore – 574219



ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Acaden	nic Year: 2019-2020	Se	Sem: Odd		
Sl. No.	Faculty name	Course name	Course code	FB in %age	
1.	4	Transform Calculus, Fourier Series and			
	Mr. Sathish Hegde	Numerical Techniques	18MAT31	93.14	
2.	Mr. Sathish S Nadig	Data Structures and Applications	18ME32	94.35	
3.	Dr. N Satheesh Kumar	Basic Thermodynamics	18ME33	92.88	
4.	Mr. Ajith G Joshi	Material Science	18ME34	85.26	
5.	Mr. Ramesh S Desai	Metal Cutting and Forming	18ME35A	95.42	
6.	Mr. Vasantha Kumar	Computer Aided Machine Drawing	18ME36A	98.33	
7.		Constitution of India, Professional Ethics			
	Mr. Shridhar Pai	and Cyber Law	18CPC39	91.09	
8.	Mr. Naveen A Kalal	Material Testing Lab	18MEL37A	96.42	
9.	Mr. Prashanth M V	Workshop and Machine Shop Practice	18MEL38A	97.30	
10.	Mr. Nagesh C Kamath	Engineering Management and Economics	17ME51	83.61	
11.	Mr. Sunil Kumar B V	Dynamics of Machines	17ME52	87.22	
12.	Mr. Avinash Hegde	Turbomachines	17ME53	90.88	
13.	Mr. Sandesh Kamath	Design of Machine Elements - I	17ME54	74.63	
14.	Dr. B M Paramashivaiah	Non Traditional Machining	17ME554	76.90	
15.	Mr. Srinivas Shenoy	Automation and Robotics	17ME563	96.62	
16.	Mr. Avinash Hegde	Fluid Mechanics Laboratory	17MEL57	90.37	
17.	Dr. Niranjan Rai	Energy Conversion Lab	17MEL58	90.43	
18.	Dr. Venkatesh N	Engineering Management and Economics	17ME51	95.90	
19.	Mr. Naveen A Kalal	Dynamics of Machines	17ME52	96.20	
20.	Mr. Shreenath Salian	Turbomachines	17ME53	88.76	
21.	Dr. Sandesh Kumar Rai	Design of Machine Elements - I	17ME54	88.08	
22.	Mr. Prashanth M V	Non Traditional Machining	17ME554	95.90	
23.	Dr. B M Paramashivaiah	Energy and Environment	17ME562	92.94	
24.	Mr. Prashanth Kamath	Energy Engineering	15ME71	96.50	
25.	Mr. Prashanth M V	Fluid Power Systems	15ME72	94.04	
26.	Mr. Naveen A Kalal	Control Engineering	15ME73	96.38	
27.	Mr. Sathish Nadig	Tribology	15ME742	94.12	
28.	Dr. Krishna Prabhu	Mechatronics	15ME753	92.80	
29.	Dr. Niranjan Rai	Project Phase I	15MEP78	93.76	
30.	Mr. Avinash Hegde	Energy Engineering	15ME71	95.17	
31.	Mr. Sandeep S	Fluid Power Systems	15ME72	94.92	
32.	Dr. N Satheesh Kumar	Control Engineering	15ME73	95.06	
33.	Mr. Ajith G Joshi	Tribology	15ME742	89.44	
34.	Mr. Vinod Kumar M V	Mechatronics	15ME753	94.92	
35.	Mr. Sandesh Kamath	Design Lab	15MEL76	95.23	
36.	Mr. Srinivas Shenoy	CIM Lab	15MEL77	94.60	

37.	Mr. Vasantha Kumar	Design Lab	15MEL76	96.92
38.	Mr. Vinod Kumar M V	CIM Lab	15MEL77	95.59

Observation:

1. The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is 92.42% with lowest=74.63% and highest=98.33%.

Action suggested:

1. As per the college norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum of 70 % feedback in their respective course. Since all faculty have obtained feedback above 70% it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.

PRINCIPAL



CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Taluk Mangalore – 574219



ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Sem: Even

Sl.	Faculty name	Course name	Course	FB in
No.		<u> </u>	code	%age
1.	Mr. Guruprasad Upadhya	Complex Analysis, Probability and Statistical Methods	18MA141	89.63
2.	Dr. N Satheesh Kumar	Applied Thermodynamics	18ME42	89.10
3.	Mr. Shreenath Salian	Fluid Mechanics	18ME43	80.12
4.	Mr. Vasantha Kumar	Kinematics of Machines	18ME44	93.43
5.	Mr. Ramesh S Desai	Metal Casting and Welding	18ME45B	88.58
6.	Mr. Ajith G Joshi	Mechanical Measurements and Metrology	18ME46B	86.73
7.	Mr. Ajith G Joshi	Mechanical Measurements and Metrology Lab	18MEL47B	86.39
8.	Mr. Vinod Kumar M V	Foundry, Forging and Welding Lab	18MEL48B	89.78
9.	Mr. Ganesh Kamath	Aadalitha Kannada	18KAK49	88.30
10.	Mr. Sathish Nadig	Finite Element Analysis	17ME61	81.48
11.	Mr. Sandeep S	CIM	17ME62	85.81
12.	Mr. Niranjan Rai	Heat Transfer	17ME63	84.43
13.	Mr. Sandesh Kumar Rai	Design of Machine Elements II	17ME64	81.90
14.	Dr. Krishna Prabhu	Metal Forming	17ME653	82.48
15.	Dr. Venkatesh N	Total Quality Management	17ME664	84.19
16.	Mr. Niranjan Rai	Heat Transfer Lab	17MEL67	81.03
17.	Mr. Sathish Nadig	Modelling and Analysis Lab	17MEL68	81.81
18.	Mr. Sunil Kumar BV	Finite Element Analysis	17ME61	94.76
19.	Mr. Srinivas Shenoy	CIM	17ME62	93.93
20.	Mr. Prashanth Kamath	Heat Transfer	17ME63	93.81
21.	Mr. Sandesh Kamath	Design of Machine Elements II	17ME64	92.71
22.	Mr. Ramesh S Desai	Metal Forming	17ME653	92.60
23.	Mr. Prashanth M V	Total Quality Management	17ME664	93.06
24.	Mr. Shreenath Salian	Heat Transfer Lab	17MEL67	95.44
25.	Mr. Vasanth Kumar	Modelling and Analysis Lab	17MEL68	96.19
26.	Mr. Nagesh C Kamath	Operations Research	15ME81	91.72
27.	Mr. Naveen A Kalal	Additive Manufacturing	15ME82	96.32
28.	Mr. Prashanth Kamath	Cryogenics	15ME831	93.82
29.	Dr. N Satheesh Kumar	Internship / Professional Practice	15ME84	93.03
30.	Mr. Niranjan Rai	Project Phase II	15ME85	91.79

31.	Dr. Venkatesh N	Seminar	15MES86	92.59
32.	Mr. Vinod Kumar M V	Additive Manufacturing	15ME82	92.70
33.	Mr. Vijeth P S	Green Manufacturing	15ME834	92.99

Observation:

1. The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is 88.25 % with lowest = 80.12% and highest = 96.32%.

Action suggested:

1. As per the college norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum of 70 % feedback in their respective course. Since all faculty have obtained feedback above 70% it is suggested to the concerned faculty to continue the same good work and improve further.

PRINCIPAL